ESTTA Tracking number:

ESTTA654705 02/09/2015

Filing date:

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BEFORE THE TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

Proceeding	91203192
Party	Plaintiff Beats Electronics, LLC
Correspondence Address	MICHAEL G KELBER NEAL GERBER & EISENBERG LLP TWO NORTH LaSALLE STREET, SUITE 1700 CHICAGO, IL 60602 UNITED STATES mkelber@ngelaw.com, knye@ngelaw.com, docketmail@ngelaw.com, afuelle-man@ngelaw.com, fwestbrown@ngelaw.com, beatsusopps@ngelaw.com
Submission	Motion to Suspend for Settlement Discussions
Filer's Name	Katherine Dennis Nye
Filer's e-mail	mkelber@ngelaw.com, knye@ngelaw.com, afuelleman@ngelaw.com, mz-mora@ngelaw.com, fwestbrown@ngelaw.com,
Signature	/Katherine Dennis Nye/
Date	02/09/2015
Attachments	URBANBEATS 90 day CONSENT MOTION TO SUSPEND 20826583_1.pdf(14967 bytes)

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BEFORE THE TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

BEA7	$\Gamma C \Gamma \Gamma$	$\mathbf{F}C$	Γ	NIC	C I	10
DEAL	LOEL	JECLI	NU	NIC	O. L	LL.

Opposer,

v.

Opposition No. 91203192

MERKURY INNOVATIONS, LLC

Applicant.

CONSENTED MOTION TO SUSPEND

Pursuant to Rule 510.03(a) of the Trademark Trial and Appeal Board Manual of Practice and 37 C.F.R. § 2.117(c), Opposer, Beats Electronics, LLC, with the consent of Applicant, Merkury Innovations, LLC., hereby moves the Board for an Order suspending these proceedings for a period of ninety (90) days pending the outcome of settlement negotiations between the parties. In support of this Motion, Opposer states:

- 1. On December 29, 2011, Opposer filed its Notice of Opposition to Applicant's registration of the mark URBAN BEATZ, and a scheduling order issued.
- 2. On January 23, 2012, Applicant filed its Answer to the Notice of Opposition together with a counterclaim against Opposer.
- 3. During the course of these proceedings, Opposer and Applicant have spent considerable time and effort towards completion of discovery. Specifically, Opposer and Applicant have exchanged initial disclosures, first sets of written discovery requests and responses and propounded document production, and have each completed 30(b)(6) depositions.

- 4. In addition, on February 17, 2014, Opposer served supplemental discovery requests including Requests for Admission, Requests for the Production of Documents and Interrogatory on Applicant, which remain outstanding.
- 5. Opposer and Applicant likewise exchanged expert disclosures pursuant to the Board's scheduling order. In order to permit time for expert discovery, fact discovery was suspended on March 4, 2014. On May 1, 2014, the suspension for expert discovery was extended by four days, and the parties duly completed expert discovery. Pursuant to the Board's May 1, 2014 Order, the Proceedings were resumed on May 5, 2014 and the discovery period was set to close on June 4, 2014.
- 6. The Parties efforts described in Paragraphs 3-5 demonstrate Opposer's and Applicant's diligent, ongoing efforts to move these proceedings forward, towards resolution.
- 7. Throughout the course of the discovery period, the parties intermittently discussed settlement, but these discussions were largely unproductive. On May 20, 2014, counsel for Applicant contacted counsel for Opposer to reinvigorate the settlement discussion, and proposed a potential settlement framework that the parties had not previously considered. In view of the parties' settlement efforts, the parties requested a 60 day suspension to further explore settlement. The request was granted, and pursuant to the Board's June 17, 2014 Order, the proceedings were suspended for 60 days.
- 8. Throughout the suspension period, the parties were still seriously discussing the new potential settlement framework. Indeed, on July 15, 2014, Applicant sent a revised proposal to Opposer. Accordingly, on July 21, 2014, the parties requested a further 60-day suspension to allow Opposer time to consider the revised offer. The request was granted, and pursuant to the Board's August 7, 2014 Order, the proceedings were suspended for 60 days.

- 9. During that suspension period, Opposer was acquired by Apple Inc. Opposer has rejected Applicant's most recent settlement proposal, and the parties requested a further suspension to allow Opposer time to prepare an appropriate counterproposal. The request was granted, and pursuant to the Board's January 15, 2015 Order, the proceedings were suspended for 60 days.
- 10. During that suspension, with the intervening holidays, Opposer was able to authorize a counterproposal, which has now been presented to Applicant. In order to provide Applicant with adequate time to consider the counterproposal, and if necessary, further negotiate an agreement, the parties hereby jointly move for a further ninety (90) day suspension of these proceedings.
- 11. Efforts to reach a mutually agreeable settlement are ongoing. A suspension of these proceedings will permit the parties to continue their settlement efforts and, hopefully, resolve these proceedings.
- 12. Counsel for Opposer and counsel for Applicant have conferred and agreed to seek the suspension of this matter to allow the parties to focus their efforts on attempting to resolve this matter, and, therefore, counsel for Applicant has consented to this motion.

WHEREFORE, Opposer respectfully requests that the Board suspend this Opposition for a period of ninety (90) days, pending the outcome of the settlement discussions and reset the deadlines as set forth below or as otherwise appropriate:

Proceedings Resume	April 18, 2015
Discovery Closes	May 18, 2015
Plaintiff's Pretrial Disclosures	July 2, 2015
Plaintiff's 30-day Trial Period Ends	August 16, 2015
Defendant/Counterclaim Plaintiff's Pretrial Disclosures	August 31, 2015

30-day Trial Period for Defendant/ Counterclaim Plaintiff Ends	October 15, 2015
Counterclaim Defendants & Plaintiff's Rebuttal Disclosures Due	October 30, 2015
30-day Trial Period for Counterclaim Defendant & Rebuttal as Plaintiff Ends	December 14, 2015
Counterclaim Plaintiff's Rebuttal Disclosures Due	December 29, 2015
15-day Rebuttal Period for Counterclaim Plaintiff Ends	January 28, 2016
Brief for plaintiff due	March 28, 2016
Brief for defendant and plaintiff in the counterclaim due	April 27, 2016
Brief for defendant in the counterclaim and reply brief, if any, for plaintiff due	May 27, 2016
Reply brief, if any, for plaintiff in the counterclaim due	June 11, 2016

Respectfully submitted,

Date: February 9, 2015 /Katherine Dennis Nye/

One of the Attorneys for Opposer, Beats Electronics, LLC

Michael G. Kelber Katherine Dennis Nye Neal, Gerber & Eisenberg LLP 2 N. LaSalle Street, Suite 1700 Chicago, Illinois 60602 312.269.8000

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Katherine Dennis Nye, state that I served a copy of the foregoing Consented Motion

To Suspend via First Class Mail, U.S. postage prepaid, upon counsel for Applicant:

Anthony F. Lo Cicero Marc J. Jason Amster, Rothstein & Ebenstein LLP 90 Park Avenue New York, NY 10016

on this 9th day of February, 2015.

/ Katherine Dennis Nye/ Katherine Dennis Nye

20826583.1